

From: [Ralph and Brenda Todd](#)
To: [CoryDP](#)
Subject: Deadline 4 - Ref Compulsory Acquisition Hearing
Date: 19 February 2025 12:28:16

Hello,

In error I hit the send button before completing my submission (below – in error 10.51).

I am resubmitting which now includes my reference number.

Thank you,

Kind regards

Ralph Todd

Dear Sir

I write to adhere to Deadline 4.

I attended the Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 2 on 11th February as an observer on behalf of Friends of Crossness Nature Reserve.

During the examination of the Landsul Ltd and Munster Joinery (UK) Ltd 4.5 on agenda the Examiner, on at least two occasions, questioned the Applicant about the adequacy of the detailed design which seemed to be the cause of so much questioning by the representative of Landsul.

I found the same frustration during the agenda item 4.3 when the Applicant claimed not to be able to conclude or explain possible outcomes with Ms Jay Anderson the grazier. It is clear the stables, surrounding paddock and access is still very much an outstanding item.

I therefore ask, how, as we try to complete our submissions particularly in relation to the nature reserve and other green open spaces, possibly draw any comfort from the possibility that the Applicant will encroach even further onto the nature reserve, thus reducing the green space even further.

As I understand it, the Applicant has already made provision in its design to reduce the amount of nature reserve (otherwise known as green space full of rare biodiversity – wildlife doesn't recognise names/boundaries) by 11%.

It still has not come up with an answer as to what the future holds for the grazier and the stables.

It is therefore quite possible with the Applicant's cavalier approach and disregard for the existing green space they could remove even more green space to provide space for the aforementioned stables/paddock/access and now utilities as well.

Can the Examiner please seek assurances from the applicant that no more of the existing green space rich in biodiversity will be removed and also to seek clarification exactly how the Applicant proposes to overcome what has been an issue regarding the location of the stable from the very outset.

Thank you,

Ralph Todd

My Reference 20048841

From: Ralph and Brenda Todd [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 February 2025 10:51
To: 'CoryDP@planninginspectorate.gov.uk'
Subject:

I write to adhere to Deadline 4.

I attended the Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 2 on 11th February as an observer on behalf of Friends of Crossness Nature Reserve.

During the examination of the Landsul Ltd and Munster Joinery (UK) Ltd 4.5 on agenda the Examiner, on at least two occasions questioned the Applicant about the adequacy of the detailed design which seemed to be the cause of so much questioning by the representative of Landsul.

I found the same frustration during the agenda item 4.3 when the Applicant claimed not to be able to conclude or explain possible outcomes with Ms Jay Anderson the grazier. It is clear the stables, surrounding paddock and access is still very much an outstanding item.

I therefore ask, how, as we try to complete our submissions particularly in relation to the nature reserve and other green open spaces, possibly draw any comfort from the possibility that the Applicant will encroach even further onto the nature reserve, thus reducing the green space even further.

As I understand it, the Applicant has already made provision in its design to reduce the amount of nature reserve (otherwise known as green space full of rare biodiversity – wildlife doesn't recognise names/boundaries) by 11%.

It still has not come up with an answer as to what the future holds for the grazier and the stables.

It is therefore quite possible with the Applicants cavalier approach and disregard for the existing green space they could remove even more green space to provide space for the aforementioned stables/paddock/access and now utilities as well.

Can the Examiner please seek assurances from the applicant that no more of the existing green space rich in biodiversity will be removed and also to seek clarification exactly how the Applicant proposes to overcome what has been an issue regarding the location of the stable from the very outset.

Thank you,
Ralph Todd